

Phone: 202-783-5550 www.naccho.org



2017 Model Practices

Applicant Information					
Full Name:	Company:	Company:			
Melissa Smith	Arapahoe Co	Arapahoe County Public Health Department			
Title	Emaile		Phone:		
Title:					
Senior Community Health Promotion S	Special msmith@tchd.	.org	(720)940-0896		
City:			State:	Zip:	
Greenwood Village			CO	80111	
Model Practice Title					
Please provide the name or title of yo	our practice: *				
Implementing a noncompetitive fundin	a process to engage sch	ool district partners in to	obacco prevention		
Practice Categories					
Model and Promising Practices are s Please select all the practice areas th		nable database. Applica	ations may align with m	nore than one practice category	
☐ Access to Care	☐ Advocacy and Policy Making	☐ Animal Control	Coalitions and Partnerships	☐ Communications/Public Relations	
Community Involvement	☐ Cultural Competence	☐ EmergencyPreparedness	☐ Environmental Health	☐ Food Safety	
☐ Global Climate Change	☐ Health Equity	☐ HIV/STI	☐ Immunization	☐ Infectious Disease	
☐ Informatics	☐ Information Technology	☐ Injury and Violence Prevention			
Organizational Practices	☐ Other Infrastructure and Systems	Organizational Practices	☐ Primary Care	☐ Quality Improvement	
Research and Evaluation	▼ Tobacco	□ Vector Control		☐ Workforce	
Conference Theme: Bridging Clinical Medicine and Population Health					

Other::				
Is this practice evidence	e based, if so please e	xplain. :		
No. This is not an evid	dence based practice.			
Winnable Battles				
To keep pace with eme	s to achieve measurab tive strategies to addre	allenges and to address the leading caus le impact quickly.Winnable Battles are press them. Does this practice address an	ublic health priorit	ies with large-scale impact on
□ Food Safety	HIV in the U.S.	□ Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity	▼ Tobacco	Healthcare-associated Infections
	☐ Teen Pregnancy	☐ None		
Overview: Provide a	brief summary of the	practice in this section (750 Word Ma	ximum)	

Your summary must address all the questions below:

- Brief description of LHD- location, demographics of population served in your community
- Describe public health issue
- Goals and objectives of the proposed practice
- How was the practice implemented/activities
- Results/Outcomes (list process milestones and intended/actual outcomes and impacts.
 - Were all of the objectives met?
 - What specific factors led to the success of this practice?
- Public Health impact of practice
- Website for your program, or LHD.

750 Word Maximum

Please use this portion to respond to the questions in the overview section. : *

Tri-County Health Department (TCHD) is the largest local health department in Colorado, serving more than 1.4 million residents of Adams, Arapahoe, and Douglas Counties. TCHD serves a large and diverse region, including 15 school districts serving 271,520 students, approximately one-third of whom are eligible for free or reduced-price lunch. While great strides have been made in lowering smoking prevalence and reducing exposure to secondhand smoke, reducing and eliminating youth initiation remains a priority. The overarching goal of the TCHD Tobacco Prevention Program is to decrease youth tobacco use and initiation by 50%, with a particular emphasis on populations experiencing a high burden of tobacco use and with low socio-economic status. The practice objective was to engage a minimum of 12 school districts to strengthen, communicate, and enforce tobacco-free schools policies while reducing youth access and exposure. Goals and objectives are in line with the Colorado State Tobacco Education and Prevention Partnership funding program, which funds TCHD's tobacco prevention efforts. TCHD structured a noncompetitive subcontracting model for school districts in Adams, Arapahoe, and Douglas counties to strengthen and implement comprehensive tobacco-free schools policies with an evaluation component consistent with Colorado's Tobacco-Free Schools Law, and Teen Tobacco Use Prevention Act utilizing the RMC Health 5 Essential Components of Effective Tobacco Prevention for Schools (http://rmc.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/FINAL-Essential-Components-of-Effective-Tobacco-Prevention-for-Schools-5 1.pdf). The subgrant practice requires partnering school districts to designate one staff member to serve as the grant coordinator and primary point of contact between TCHD and the school district on all tobacco-grant related matters. Grant dollars can be used to support this position in a salaried or contract capacity. The subgrant process requires response to a non-competitive RFA, which supports an "opt-in" approach that indicates a level of readiness. An annual work plan is developed and agreed upon by both parties. Approved work plans are included in each subgrant contract and are built into quarterly reports. Payment is based on reimbursement of incurred costs, following a review to ensure alignment with state-level grant requirements. Most expenses fall under the approved categories of staff time and benefits, mileage reimbursement, office supplies, educational materials, printing/copying, refreshments for meetings, youth incentives, and tobacco-free signage. TCHD provided technical assistance, including regular site visits and phone calls with staff in all participating districts. Quarterly capacitybuilding trainings were held every quarter throughout the funding period. These trainings provided networking and educational opportunities through guest speakers, resource demonstrations, and peer sharing. The initial funding cycle was 2012-2015. Twelve school districts received awards to assess and strengthen their Tobacco-Free Schools Policies using the Tobacco-Free Schools Policy Checklist Toolkit, a collaborative project of RMC Health, the Colorado Department of Public Health & Environment's State Tobacco Education & Prevention Partnership and local health agencies throughout Colorado. TCHD completed objective assessments for all school districts, and districts used their assessment results to guide their tobacco prevention plans. TCHD then completed the same objective assessment process for all districts to measure changes in policy provisions. At the end of the first three-year funding cycle, 10 school districts strengthened district-level tobacco-free schools policies (including approval and adoption by District Boards of Education), resulting in more consistent implementation and enforcement, including alternatives to suspension and support for cessation. Two districts were successful in policy change at the school level; one strengthened language in the high school code of conduct manual, and the second added non-punitive options for consequences of tobacco use to their discipline matrix. Healthy Kids Colorado Survey (Colorado's version of the Youth Risk Behavior Survey) data in 2015 showed reduced youth tobacco use compared to 2013 in two of TCHD's three counties for which data are available. Implementing a process to formalize the practice of sub-granting significant funds (\$25,000-\$40,000) to school district partners to promote collaborative achievement of program objectives was key to influencing sustainable change. By design, the process allows a funded school district to conduct policy and implementation work according to its own practices, builds on shared goals of supporting healthy students, establishes and invests in organizational relationships designed to build capacity and minimize power dynamics, and maximizes efficiency of allocated funds. The willingness of TCHD to include organizational learning as a goal and expectation was also key to our success. Many local organizations play important roles in improving student health. Collaborating with diverse school districts ensures a variety of perspectives are considered when implementing evidence-based strategies. TCHD believes focusing efforts toward policy change will have the most systematic and longterm effect on reducing prevalence of tobacco use among young people. TCHD's website is www.tchd.org.

Responsiveness and Innovation

A Model Practice must be responsive to a particular local public health problem or concern. An innovative practice must be (1) **new to the field of public health (and not just new to your health department)** OR **(2)** a creative use of an existing tool or practice, including but not limited to use of an Advanced Practice Centers (APC) development tool, The Guide to Community Preventive Services, Healthy People 2020 (HP 2020), Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships (MAPP), Protocol for Assessing Community Excellence in Environmental Health (PACE EH). Examples of an inventive use of an existing tool or practice are: tailoring to meet the needs of a specific population, adapting from a different discipline, or improving the content.

- Statement of the problem/public health issue
- What target population is affected by problem (please include relevant demographics)
 - What is the target population size?
 - What percentage did you reach?
- What has been done in the past to address the problem?
- Why is the current/proposed practice better?
- Is current practice innovative? How so/explain?
 - Is it new to the field of public health
 - Is it a creative use of existing tool or practice:

What tool or practice did you use in an original way to create your practice? (e.g., APC development tool, The Guide to Community Preventive Services, HP 2020, MAPP, PACE EH, a tool from NACCHO's Toolbox etc.)

 Is the current practice evidence-based? If yes, provide references (Examples of evidence-based guidelines include the Guide to Community Preventive Services, MMWR Recommendations and Reports, National Guideline Clearinghouses, and the USPSTF Recommendations.)

2000 Word Maximum

Please state the Responsiveness and Innovation of your practice (2000 Word Maximum): *

The noncompetitive subgrant model is both responsive and innovative, building on a pilot of this strategy initiated by TCHD with a Communities Putting Prevention to Work grant from 2010-2012. TCHD recognizes that school districts are in a strategic position to influence a student's ability to make healthy choices. According to the 2013 Healthy Kids Colorado Survey, 10.7% of Colorado high school students reported smoking cigarettes on one or more of the past 30 days. Nearly 22% of high school students reported they had ever smoked a whole cigarette. When broken down by age, youth reporting having ever smoked a whole cigarette more than doubles from age 15 and under (16.2%) to age 18 and older (34.4%). One-third (34.6%) of students attending an alternative school reported smoking cigarettes on one or more of the past 30 days, thus early intervention in middle school is important to prevent and reduce high school tobacco use. This process was begun in 2013 to influence student health through school policy and environment changes around tobacco. According to the Colorado Department of Education (CDE), the total number of pre-school through grade 12 students enrolled in the TCHD jurisdiction in 2013 was 269,524. The 12 districts who participated in the first funding cycle represented nearly 62% of these students. In the current funding cycle, CDE lists the 2015 student total at 271,520. Currently, TCHD is funding 13 school districts, increasing the student population being served by this grant to 76.6%. One half of public school students in the three counties (49.5%) are non-White racial/ethnic minorities, and more than one third (37.0%) are eligible for free and reduced-price lunch. Participating school district size ranges from 176 students to more than 66,000. The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment administers local grants funded through Colorado state tax revenue on cigarettes and other tobacco products. TCHD began receiving these funds upon the program inception in 2005. Previously, TCHD did not work with school districts on tobacco-free schools policies; most tobacco control work was focused on promoting cessation. No funds were subgranted, and TCHD led all community-based tobacco control work. When a new funding cycle began in 2012, TCHD reached out to school districts to collaborate on youth prevention work through tobacco-free schools policies, and a subgrant model was proposed to promote efficiency, build capacity, and allow policy change to be made from within the organization. The non-competitive subgrant strategy serves to enhance and prioritize the capacity of local school districts to strengthen, communicate, and enforce tobacco-free schools policies. The funding and resulting outcomes were issuefocused, but the process of creating a noncompetitive funding option allowed for a reciprocal relationship between the two organizations. By focusing on district capacity, TCHD minimizes dependence on the local health department for communication and enforcement of Tobacco-Free Schools laws. This is a better practice because it is a collaborative, capacity-building approach to reduce the burden of preventable disease. We are aware of no other health department in Colorado using a similar practice of sharing financial resources with external partners in the absence of a mandate. The process of bringing together multiple school districts to jointly impact tobacco prevention in a way that invites collaboration and joint learning has generated new enthusiasm for addressing this public health burden. While school-based policies by themselves have not been shown to be effective, comprehensive policies that include tobacco as a subcomponent within broader school and community wellness policies have been proven as an effective tobacco control strategy to prevent youth tobacco use initiation. A tobacco-free schools policy supports safe schools, promotes clean indoor air, and creates an environment where students are encouraged to make healthy choices.

LHD and Community Collaboration

The LHD should have a role in the practice's development and/or implementation. Additionally, the practice should demonstrate broad-based involvement and participation of community partners (e.g., government, local residents, business, healthcare, and academia). If the practice is internal to the LHD, it should demonstrate cooperation and participation within the agency (i.e., other LHD staff) and other outside entities, if relevant. An effective implementation strategy includes outlined, actionable steps that are taken to complete the goals and objectives and put the practice into action within the community.

- · Goal(s) and objectives of practice
- What did you do to achieve the goals and objectives?
 - Steps taken to implement the program
- Any criteria for who was selected to receive the practice (if applicable)?
- What was the timeframe for the practice
- Were other stakeholders involved? What was their role in the planning and implementation process?
 - What does the LHD do to foster collaboration with community stakeholders? Describe the relationship(s) and how it furthers the practice goal(s)
- Any start up or in-kind costs and funding services associated with this practice? Please provide actual data, if possible. Otherwise, provide an estimate of start-up costs/ budget breakdown.

5000 words maximum

Enter the LHD and Community Collaboration related to your practice (5000 words maximum): *

The primary goal of this ongoing strategy is to reduce youth initiation of tobacco. Recognizing that responsibility for health should not be limited to health-related entities, TCHD set an objective to engage no fewer than 12 school districts in the development and consistent implementation of Tobacco-Free Schools Policies. The organization interacts with school districts through many of its programs, and has a history of working collaboratively on health-related issues. Through previous programs, TCHD's Strategic Partnerships Manager had built a strong relationship with many superintendents. We first reached out to these superintendents about the public health impact of tobacco, youth usage of tobacco, and TCHD's goals around tobacco-free schools and preventing youth initiation. The program was proposed to all districts, and all were given the opportunity to request the noncompetitive funding. The subgrant process was determined the most appropriate tactic to enhance existing partnerships by creating a source of funds to support scientifically-sound, well-designed strategies that have a high potential for replication in school-based settings. All public school districts in Adams, Arapahoe, and Douglas counties were eligible to apply for funds. If a school district prefers another organization to apply for funds on its behalf, this is allowable. Such organizations must have the signature of the district superintendent illustrating district support and willingness to participate. For example, one district preferred the school-based healthcare provider be the grant recipient on the district's behalf. The timeframe for the practice has run on concurrent 3-year cycles. The first cycle was 2012-2015, and we are midway through the second cycle that runs 2016-2018. These are consistent with the three-year funding cycles of TCHD's grant from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment. Funds are guaranteed only one year at a time, and are dependent upon receipt of funds by TCHD from the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment. The relationships established and trust built with school district partners as a result of this process has added depth to our work. Process feedback from partners has resulted in improved reporting forms, and user driven content for technical assistance and professional development at quarterly meetings. This practice is primarily a collaboration between the local health department and the school districts. Additional stakeholders include the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, TCHD's funder; RMC Health, a national technical assistance provider headquartered in metro Denver who provides assistance and training on tobacco-free schools best practices; nonprofit and community-based organizations in each school district, including school-based healthcare providers, PTOs, and district foundations. The American Lung Association has been a lead partner with TCHD and all school districts in support of cessation opportunities for students and staff. Start-up costs associated with this practice are primarily in salary for staff time. TCHD staff dedicated time to write the noncompetitive RFA, review applications and work plans, and work collaboratively with districts to finalize work plans. Refreshments were provided at the initial superintendent meeting and continue to be provided at quarterly training meetings. Grant funds total approximately \$350,000 for the first 12 districts participating.

Evaluation

Evaluation assesses the value of the practice and the potential worth it has to other LHDs and the populations they serve. It is also an effective means to assess the credibility of the practice. Evaluation helps public health practice maintain standards and improve practice. Two types of evaluation are **process** and **outcome**. Process evaluation assesses the effectiveness of the steps taken to achieve the desired practice outcomes. Outcome evaluation summarizes the results of the practice efforts. Results may be long-term, such as an improvement in health status, or short-term, such as an improvement in knowledge/awareness, a policy change, an increase in numbers reached, etc. Results may be quantitative (empirical data such as percentages or numerical counts) and/or qualitative (e.g., focus group results, in-depth interviews, or anecdotal evidence).

- What did you find out? To what extent were your objectives achieved? Please re-state your objectives.
- Did you evaluate your practice?
 - o List any primary data sources, who collected the data, and how (if applicable)
 - o List any secondary data sources used (if applicable)
 - o List performance measures used. Include process and outcome measures as appropriate.
 - o Describe how results were analyzed
 - Were any modifications made to the practice as a result of the data findings?

2000 Words Maximum

Please enter the evaluation results of your practice (2000 Words Maximum): *

The objective was to engage no fewer than 12 school districts in the development and consistent implementation of Tobacco-Free Schools Policies utilizing a noncompetitive subgrant model to achieve the larger goal of preventing youth initiation of tobacco. Evaluation of the subgrant process effectiveness comes from the following sources: 1) qualitative progress reports submitted by funded partners. 2) an objective policy analysis tool, 3) structured dialogue at quarterly meetings, and 4) Healthy Kids Colorado Survey data (Colorado's version of the school-based Youth Risk Behavior Survey). 1) Quarterly and annual reports submitted by funded partners provide documentation of accomplishments, challenges, and strategies to address challenges. Accomplishment examples include documentation of strengthened policies, integration of tobacco-free messages into existing activities, dedicated tobacco-free page on district website, cessation programs offered for students, professional development for school staff, installation of new or upgraded signs, tobacco-free messages placed on district school buses, and engaging students in prevention activities such as Kick Butts Day. Ongoing challenges include competing health priorities (mental health, asthma, marijuana use), competing education priorities (focus on testing, days are already full), and staff turnover. Strategies to address these challenges include integrating strategies into existing health promotion efforts such as classroom instruction, professional development for school staff and parents on emerging trends affecting youth, and expectations for enforcement. These reports also provide evidence that the subgrants were implemented as proposed, and document justification for proposed modifications. 2) Pre and post policy analysis during the first 3 year funding cycle documented successful district-level policy change in 10 of the 12 participating districts. The remaining districts were successful in policy change at the school level. Policy changes fell under categories of tobacco-free environment, policy organization, enforcement, and prevention/treatment. Examples included expanding the definition of tobacco products to include electronic devices used to deliver nicotine; a policy that is in effect 24 hours a day, 365 days a year; dress code language that prohibits wearing of tobacco related or promoting items for students, staff, and visitors; explicit consequences for violations, and tobacco education training for teachers and staff. 3) Structured dialogue at quarterly meetings ensures we are collecting data for learning, not just reporting. All funded school districts participate in quarterly meetings. Focused conversations and group process activities solicit sharing of successes and hardships, and help maintain enthusiasm for the work. 4) Healthy Kids Colorado Survey data, available statewide and by county beginning in 2013, provide prevalence of youth tobacco use as well as other risk and protective factors such as ease of access (risk factor) and school involvement (protective factor). Data from 2013 are available for all three of TCHD's counties, however 2015 data are only available for two of three counties as one county did not participate. Two survey points for Adams and Arapahoe counties indicate a decrease in tobacco use among high school students that is promising. We are eager to see a third data point following the 2017 survey to determine whether this will prove to be a significant trend. In 2013, 21.8% of Colorado high school students reported having ever smoked a cigarette, and this decreased to 20% in 2015. In Arapahoe and Adams Counties, the prevalence was 19.8% and 24.5% respectively in 2013, which had decreased to 14.6% and 17.7%, respectively, in 2015. This decrease in youth initiation is promising, and we are pleased that our counties have shown reductions greater than the State as a whole. In 2013, the percentage of Colorado high school students who reported smoking on one or more of the past 30 days (current smoker) was 10.7%, reducing to 8.6% in 2015. In Arapahoe and Adams Counties, the prevalence of current smoking was 8.5% and 11.1%, respectively, in 2013, and 6% and 7.2%, respectively in 2015. In 2013, the percentage of Colorado high school students who reported using chew, snuff, or dip on one or more of the past 30 days was 6.4%, reducing to 4.9% in 2015. In Arapahoe and Adams Counties, the prevalence of smokeless tobacco use was 4.3% and 6.2%, respectively, in 2013, and 3.5% and 5.9%, respectively, in 2015. We find these initial results very promising given the strategic investment of noncompetitive grant funds to address tobacco prevention in school districts serving these counties. We have seen a reduction in student use as well as initiation of tobacco. Qualitative data are also utilized for process improvement, and several process adjustments have been made as a result of partner progress reports. The most valuable has been restructuring the reporting template. Modifications included fewer open ended questions, incorporating the work plan with space to describe progress under each activity, and the addition of new questions to capture achievements beyond the agreed-upon work plan. Informal feedback indicated that these change were helpful in ensuring focus on the objectives of the grant, delineating accountability for different tasks, and creating a clear historical timeline for the purposes of record keeping and orienting new staff to the process.

Sustainability

Sustainability is determined by the availability of adequate resources. In addition, the practice should be designed so that the stakeholders are invested in its maintenance and to ensure it is sustained after initial development (NACCHO acknowledges that fiscal challenges may limit the feasibility of a practice's continuation.)

- Lessons learned in relation to practice
- Lessons learned in relation to partner collaboration (if applicable)
- Did you do a cost/benefit analysis? If so, describe.
- Is there sufficient stakeholder commitment to sustain the practice?
 - Describe sustainability plans

1500 Words Maximum

Please enter the sustainability of your practice (2000 Words Maximum): *

While stronger policies are the goal, we recognize that it is equally important to build a constituency that will promote policy change and monitor its effective implementation. The subgrant approach aims to engage and prepare school districts to strengthen their existing tobacco-related policies, and to develop and implement plans for implementation and enforcement of those policies. This model has resulted in strengthened district-level policies, increased health education, and greater capacity within district staff to influence change. Tobacco prevention is just one component of comprehensive school wellness. A school district team that demonstrates success in tobacco prevention, both environmentally and educationally, is well equipped to apply the process to other components of school health. Through this process, TCHD has learned many lessons. School districts often have many layers in the process of adopting new policies, and this can be a challenge to moving forward with changes at the district level. However this is one of the leading reasons to utilize a subgrant process; policy change coming from within the district can be more successful because it is an internal process led by staff who understand district practices. We have also learned the importance of listening to partners and engaging them in the development of programs targeted to their communities. We engaged our school district partners in the development of work plans and in the structure of the funding. This fosters partner buy-in. We have also learned lessons in relation to partner collaboration, specifically with school districts. It is essential to engage and support districts on multiple levels, from parent organizations and wellness staff, to superintendents and district boards. School district staff know what strategies will work best in their own district. They have many competing priorities and limited resources to dedicate to new initiatives. Additionally, ongoing communication and structured networking opportunities during trainings, quarterly meetings, and site visits structured for joint learning are worthwhile to support increased capacity and collaboration. This subgrant model is designed to build sustainable capacity within school districts and sustainable policy change to support healthy students, thus reducing dependence on inconsistent grant funding sources. School districts are committed to the health of their students, and have shown a commitment to sustaining the outcomes through implementation and enforcement of tobacco-free schools policies adopted through this process.

Additional Information								
How did you hear about the Mode	l Practices Program:: *							
☐ I am a previous Model Practices applicant	☐ At a Conference	□ NACCHO Website	☐ Public Health Dispatch	Colleague in my LHD				
☐ Model Practices brochure	□ NACCHO Exhibit Booth	NACCHO Connect	Colleague from another public health agency	E-Mail from NACCHO				
☐ NACCHO Exchange								